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Abstract

In this Thesis are presented the results of an experimental analysis of the dynamic response of a low pressure proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell stack to step changes in load, which are characteristic of both hydrogen stationary power systems and automotive applications.

The analysis and experiment are based on a low pressure 5 kW proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) stack and the model tested was a GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System.

This research main aim is to acquire a better understanding of the electrical and electrochemical processes when accounting for the characteristic cell voltage response during transients and establish the overall efficiencies.

The most important features of the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system are illustrated in this thesis by analysing the obtained results from the experimentation, features such as electric characteristics, overall efficiency, fuel usage, and in general the operation performance of the fuel cell under load conditions.
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# Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Explanation &amp; Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Area cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ASR$</td>
<td>Area-specific resistance $\Omega \cdot$ cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Electron charge $1.6 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Thermodynamically ideal voltage V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>Faraday constant 96.485 C/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>Gibbs free energy J/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Enthalpy of reaction J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>Current A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Avogadro’s number $6.02 \times 10^{-23}$ mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>Pressure bar, atm, Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>Power W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_u$</td>
<td>Ideal gas constant 8.314 J/mol·C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Resistance $\Omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>Entropy J/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>Internal energy J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>Voltage V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>Volume L, cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>Work J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Transfer coefficient Dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>Efficiency Dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{act}$</td>
<td>Activation losses V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{conc}$</td>
<td>Concentration losses V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{ohmic}$</td>
<td>Ohmic losses V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{fuel}$</td>
<td>Fuel flow rate Slm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>Conductivity S/cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

The demand for renewable energy power and renewable energy commercial applications is taking dominant place in the minds of people and guides the markets.

Large corporations and small developers of renewable applications are flooding the markets with plenty of products based on renewable energy technology.

The majority of these applications either for portable or stationary applications are based on the sector of the fuel cells. There are different types for different uses with dominant place on the market and not only the proton exchange membranes fuel cells (PEM FC) and the solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC).

Because of this variety of fuel cells and the claimed performances of them from the different manufacturers rises the need of evaluating and confirming their claims.

In the following sections is presented the PEM fuel cell technology and explained briefly its operation. Then it is performed an analysis of the performance for a specific PEM fuel cell product, the GenCore 5B48 PEM fuel cell system.

In addition on the appendixes is included a brief history of the fuel cells, the different fuel cell types and the applications suitable for, plus a comparison table illustrating their features.
2 Principles of fuel cells

2.1 General concept

A fuel cell (FC) is a device that produces electricity by electrochemical reaction of a fuel and an oxidant. In the most Hydrogen – Oxygen fuel cell, the oxidant is Oxygen and the fuel is Hydrogen.

The H₂, O₂ fuel cell releases pure water (H₂O), electricity and heat which is treated as a waste in most cases but there is always a possibility of “harvesting” this heat in result too increase the efficiency of the FC system. The general idea of the operation of a FC as is presented in figure 2.1 above.

2.2 Advantages and disadvantages

The claimed operational advantages from the PEM FC manufacturers are plenty and significant. All of these claims need to be investigated further in order to be verified because none of the PEM F.C. types that exist on the market are yet cheap and/or reliable enough to widely replace traditional ways of generating power, such as internal combustion. For this reason this research is focussed in investigating the efficiency of a PEM F.C.

The main fuel cell advantages are:

a) Production of electricity as long as they are supplied with fuel;
b) Production of electricity directly from chemical energy;
c) Potential for very high efficiency;
d) High energy density and ability for quick recharging by refuelling;
e) Simple, no moving parts, silent;
f) Potential for high reliability and long-lasting systems;
g) Environmentally friendly - undesirable products such as NOx, SOx, and particulate
h) Emissions are virtually zero;
i) Flexible – allow easy independent scaling between power and capacity.

Even though the fuel cell technology is thriving and numerous studies have been conducted and this technology is under investigation and development; it has some significant disadvantages. The main disadvantages are:

a) High cost – the main barrier to fuel cell implementation;
b) Limitations in power density;
c) Fuel availability and storage – hydrogen fuel has a low volumetric energy density and is difficult to store, alternative fuels are difficult to use directly and usually

d) Require reforming;
e) Temperature compatibility;
f) Susceptibility to environmental poisons – some materials used, especially in low temperature fuel cells, are quite vulnerable to reactant contaminations;
g) Durability issues;
3  PEM Fuel Cell

3.1  PEM FC Structure and operation

The modern PEM fuel cells consist of three main parts: anode, electrolyte and cathode. They are manufactured in the form of three different layers connected to each other. Each of them has high influence on the cell’s performance. The scheme of a Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) is shown in Figure 2.3.1 below.

![Figure 3.1 Theoretical concept of a proton exchange fuel cell](image)

Hydrogen (H2) molecules are supplied to the anode. The Anode catalyzes the hydrogen molecule decomposition into protons and the electrons are released. It is possible because the next part of a FC is the electrolyte, which does not conduct electrons but allows protons to get through to the cathode side. The cathode catalyzes oxygen decomposition to let it react with the protons incoming through the electrolyte to form water. The electrons do the work (the light bulb lights) because of the electronic potential difference between cathode and anode, which actually pulls electrons through the light bulb. Besides water and electric power the by-product of a FC is heat, which comes mainly from losses in activation, transport of protons and electrons (Ohmic losses) and transport of the reactants.

The chemical reactions which occur in the process of generating electrical power are derived to be:

Anode: \[ 2H_2 \rightarrow 4H^+ + 4e^- \]
Cathode: \[ O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O \]
Net reaction: \[2H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O\]

### 3.2 Commercial Applications for PEM FC

Beside the society demand to turn to environmental friendly technology and renewable sources, the need of adapting other energy sources for economic reasons have made the PEM fuel cell technology as one of the most attractive power source influencing a number of areas like stationary power supply and small-scale portable applications and transportation.

![Figure 3.2 Ovonic H2 Prius Showcases Solid Hydrogen Storage Technology & Hydrogen Powered Municipal Vehicle](image)

Besides the limitations of the PEM fuel cell technology especially when compared with other type of fuel cells, their advantages superposes them and makes them as the most suitable alternative power supply for use in transportation, power back up applications and small portable appliances like cell phones and laptop computers.

More specific the PEM fuel cell technology stands a the most adamant of all fuel cell technologies as it provides higher power densities (0.5W/cm^2 [13] or higher) which means reasonable analogy between power and volume which in result set them more attractive for plenty commercial purposes.
4 Fuel Cell characterisation

Fuel cell characterisation is a process that permits the comparison of fuel cell of the same type. There are different techniques for comparing same type fuel cells which focuses on specific properties of the fuel cells. The most important features of these techniques are:

a) Current interrupt  
b) AC impedance  
c) Pressure drop  
d) Polarization curve hysteresis  
e) Comparative polarization curves  
f) Current density mapping  
g) Temperature mapping  
h) Flow visualization  
i) Neutron imaging  
j) Post-mortem analyses PR  
k) Internal process structure  
l) Lifetime and reliability

All of the above provides different information on the performance of the fuel cell and can assist in understanding the fuel cell’s function.

4.1 Fuel Cell Characterisation methodologies

In order to proceed with the characterization of the PEM fuel cell it is essential to define the properties which would be used in order to carry out this investigation related to the electrical performance of the fuel cell and the heat processes that occur within the device and select an appropriate methodology to analyse them. Therefore four methods were acknowledged which could be used to distinguish the overall electrical performance of the fuel cell:

1. Polarization curves  
2. Current-Voltage Measurement (I-V)  
3. Current Interrupt Measurement  
4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy
4.2 Fuel cell voltage

The calculation of the reversible fuel cell voltage for the oxidation reaction of hydrogen in the presence of oxygen is given by the Nernst equation:

\[ E_{\text{Nernst}} = E_{\text{c,cell}} + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left[ P_{H_2}^* \left( P_{O_2}^* \right)^{0.5} \right] \]

This electrochemical reaction equation describes the voltage sources in the equivalent electrical circuit model which is a function of the temperature as well as the partial pressures of hydrogen and oxygen. This represents the cell voltage for a reversible energy conversion for a zero internal resistance. This approximates an open circuit operation with no current. Normal operating conditions have activation, Ohmic-resistance, and concentration losses which reduce the cell voltage:

\[ V_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{cell}} - V_{\text{act,cell}} - V_{\text{ohm,cell}} - V_{\text{conc,cell}} \]

The following section describes the voltage drop due to these resistances.

4.3.1 Polarization curves

One of the simplest but more effective methods for characterisation of a fuel cell is the use of polarization curves (I-V). With the I-V curves a researcher can derive the profile of the steady state variation of current against voltage and by obtaining this profile; derivations regarding the cell average performance can be obtained.

![FC ideal polarization curve](image)
A typical polarization curve is illustrated on the above figure 5. The horizontal regions of the curve indicate the internal losses of the fuel cell and where each is most influential. The combined contributions of these sources of overvoltage cause the cell voltage output to decrease with increasing current density thus the curve of the electrical profile slopes downwards as a result of the losses and increase of the current density.

There are four losses affecting the I-V profile curve, which are:

1. **Activation Losses**: Activation losses arise predominantly due to the kinetics at the electrodes. The effects of these losses are most pronounced at low current densities (~1 to 100 mA/cm²). Examples include sluggish oxygen reduction kinetics at the cathodes of polymer electrolyte and phosphoric acid fuel cells and sluggish methanol oxidation kinetics at the anode of a direct methanol fuel cell. In general they are observed at low current during the activation of the fuel cell and the loss is due to the work performed to drive the chemical reaction in a specific direction and the resultant over potential. The activation voltage drop can be described by the Tafel equation:

\[
V_{\text{act}} = \frac{RT}{\alpha zF} \ln \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right) = I[a + b \ln(T)] = V_{\text{act1}} + V_{\text{act2}}
\]

The activation potential can be separated into two parts, the first as a function of the temperature and the second as a function of the current:

\[
V_{\text{act1}} = [k + a(T - 298)]
\]

\[
V_{\text{act2}} = Tb \ln(T)
\]

2. **Ohmic Losses**: This type of losses arises due to the resistive losses in the electrolyte and in the electrodes. The effects of these losses are perhaps most pronounced at intermediate current densities (~100 to 500 mA/cm²). Ohmic losses are the result of the resistance to electron transfer in the graphite collector plates and graphite electrodes and also accounts for the resistance of the membrane to proton transfer. Thus, the Ohmic resistance in the cell consists of the polymer membrane resistance, the conduction resistance between the membrane and the electrodes, and the resistances of the electrodes themselves:

\[
V_{\text{ohm}} = V_{\text{ohm, anode}} + V_{\text{ohm, membrane}} + V_{\text{ohm, cathode}} = iR_{\text{ohm}}
\]

The main effect of the Ohmic losses is that of heat loss and power loss in fuel cells.
3. **Concentration voltage drop due to Mass Transport Losses:** The mass transport losses occur due to non-reacting diffusion in the gas-diffusion layer and to reacting diffusion in the electrode layers. The effects of these losses are most pronounced at high current densities (>500 mA/cm²). In other words, they are the result of overloading of the fuel cell. This occurs when at high loads; the reactants cannot move rapidly enough to complete the chemical process with the increase power requirements. Also, these losses are take place due to the limited surface area of the electrodes that is limited in contrast with the loads that increases. The concentration over potential occurs when a voltage drop occurs due to concentration gradients at the cell surface due to limited mass transfer rates. Especially at high current densities, the slow reactant transport to and from the reaction sites in the gas diffusion layer limits the performance of the PEM fuel cells. The concentration over potential is defined as:

\[
V_{\text{conc}} = \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left( \frac{C_s}{C_b} \right)
\]

Where \(C_s\) is the surface concentration and \(C_b\) is the bulk concentration [1].

4.3.2 **Current-Voltage (I-V) Measurement**

The current density-voltage (I-V) measurement is a commonly used technique in fuel cell characterization investigations and its result is the primary value that is used for comparing same type fuel cells.

This method allows the researchers to a quantitative assessment of the fuel cell performance, and the data obtained from running the PEM fuel cell are used to build the power density curve of the device. The power density curve describes the power per area output of the system relative to the current supplied.

4.3.3 **Current Interrupt Measurement**

In order to obtain more accurate results the Current Interrupt Measurement is the more suitable. With this methodology it is achieved the separation of the voltage losses into Ohmic and non-Ohmic losses. Hence the Ohmic losses of the fuel cell can be quantified, as well as producing a current-dependant value for the summation of concentration and activation losses. Another main feature of this methodology is that results can be obtained very quickly and testing can be undertaken at the same time as the I-V measurements.
4.3.4 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

Fuel cells, in common with other electrochemical cells, exhibit complex impedance characteristics that result in a non-linear voltage/current relationship. In order to measure electrochemical impedance an AC current is applied to the cell and the resulting voltage is measured. The response is an AC voltage signal that is out of phase with the applied current. To ensure that the cell’s response is pseudo-linear a small input signal is used that constrains the output range to the linear portion of the curve. The analysis of the response requires frequency and phase components to be extracted. This is commonly undertaken using Fast Fourier Transforms or a Lock-in Amplifier and is displayed with Nyquist Plots. EIS measurements require specialized test equipment that is of high cost and limited in channel count.

Figure 4.3.4 FCT-50S and FCT-150S Fuel Cell Test Station for PEMFC

Hence was decided that undertaking the EIS method would not be straightforward within the limitations of the fuel cell laboratory of the NA.ME at time being. Never the less it is recommended, that Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy will be carried out in the future with a more adequate and safe fuel cell laboratory.
5 Fuel cell laboratory

5.1 Laboratory set up and monitoring equipment

The fuel cell laboratory is located in the building Henry Dyer of the NA.ME department and it is recently being build. The equipment needed for this research included: a GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System, a computer with the operating/monitoring software for this PEM fuel cell system, a hydrogen pipeline infrastructure, the required for safety reasons air extractor and a load bank panel for implementation of electrical loads.

![Image of GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System]

Figure 5.1.a the GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System

The GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System description is given below including some of the specifications for the fuel cell power system which among others includes a 68 cell stack with a humidification, temperature management, reaction product management and electrical control. The main features of the GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System are demonstrated on the following table.
Table 1 Main features of GenCore® 5B48

The GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System was controlled and monitored by a remote computer with installed the GenCore® software. The computer and the fuel cell are connected through an RS-232C Serial cable connection.

![Image of the computer with monitoring software]
The monitoring and operation software is to a certain extent user friendly and the operation of it quite straightforward. A tutorial of this software is included in the appendixes.

Event logging and data storing is performed by the program and stored as Excel files on a predefined directory of the monitoring computer. On this Excel file can be found the required parameters to understand the performance of each component and the performance of the whole system such as the converter output voltage & load, the stack temperature, voltage, current & power, the cabinet temperature, the system efficiency, etc.
In order to test the GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System in load conditions, a bank load panel developed by the Mechanical engineering department is being applied. It is connected to the fuel cell by two electric cables and the electrical loads are operated manually (on-off switches). Each resistive load has value of 10 Ohm and they are connected in series as also in parallel.

![Figure 5.1.c The load bank panel](image_url)

In the future is going to be introduced a more sophisticated equipment like a TDI Electronic Load Bank which will allow more advance control and testing like: Dynamic load-high quality, Low Voltage Measurements, accuracy± .25% FS for med/high ranges, ± .50% FS for low range, Accuracy: ± 3% FS for all ranges and better Resolution: .25% of full scale.
6 SYSTEM TESTS

6.1 General concept

In this section is presented the adopted testing methodology and the evaluation of the overall performance of the GenCore 5B48 unit. The tests included steady-state measurements of primary system properties, fuel consumption, efficiency analysis, cold start-up behaviour and transient analysis. Here has to be mentioned that the tested system is a few years old and this can have some negative influence on its overall performance.

6.2 Target and objectives

The main target of these tests was to establish the overall system performance, such as the electrical efficiency, the fuel consumption and fuel utilization efficiency. Investigate the system response during start-up, normal mode and shut down. Thus explore the suitability of the fuel cell system for use on mobile and stationary applications. And finally examine the GenCore® 5B48 Fuel Cell System reliability.

6.3 Measurements methodology

The measurements methodology included two parts:

I. Steady-state system response during load switching from 0% to 100% of available load. With these tests was sufficient to analyze the performance of the whole system as well as the fuel cell stack, including such parameters as general characteristics, efficiency, and fuel consumption.

II. System transition analysis. It consisted of the response time testing during switching from the standby operation into full operation, and vice versa. All data was captured by the software provided by the manufacturer.
6.4 Results and discussion

The data gathered from the actual testing session in comparison with previously findings allowed for a number of results to be produced regarding the operation and performance of the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell. The characteristic polarization curve was created, which enable us to characterize the overall performance of the PEM fuel cell unit.

6.4.1 Performance Curves

With the data outcome of the tests, modelling the performance curves was at hand. It can be seen in the following diagram that the voltage decreases and the power increases with current, which is normal operation for the fuel cell stack.

![GenCore 5B48 Polarization Curve](image)

Figure 6.4.1 GenCore 5B48 Polarization curves

The reversible voltage of the stack under these conditions was established at 48.7V. The obtained voltage-current curve is almost all linear. The recognition of which parts are responsible for activation voltage losses it is not possible at this stage, especially without the required EIS equipment. In order to have solid results this measurement will be conducted a few times in order to ensure that the same will occur.
The activation losses are very small. The ohmic losses are clearly visible and increase with current. Unfortunately it was not possible to record if considerable concentration losses will occur due to the equipment limitation.

6.4.2 Stack Current & Voltage

The current is not often steady in the stack in starting mode but as soon the stack attains operational temperature the current becomes fairly steady with value depending on the connected load. The small variation of the current occurs due to internal operations of the fuel cell, especially when the cooling system starts in order to maintain the optimum stack temperature.

The voltage of the stack showed an unsteady state at the beginning of the experiment but the voltage remains stable as long as the connected load does not alter.

6.4.3 Stack Temperature

The operational temperature of the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell is around 52°C. At operational mode the stack requires about 16 minutes to reach this working temperature for
any load, as shown in Figure 6.4.3. Of course, the required time to reach its working temperature depends on the weather conditions and ambient temperature.

![Coolant Temperatures vs. Time](image)

**Figure 6.4.3 Stack temperature variation during testing**

With one hydrogen cylinder of 50 litres, under compression up to 200 bars, the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell can operate continuously for nine hours at constant load of 1kW and on the maximum capacity of the system it can last about two hours, always according the manufacturer.

Because not all of the fuel provided to the GenCore 5B48 contributes in the electrochemical reaction it is required to introduce the fuel utilization efficiency and this is shown in the figure 6.4.4b.

### 6.4.4 Fuel consumption and fuel utilization efficiency

In order to determine the stack efficiency and in general the overall efficiency of the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system the fuel consumption and the fuel utilization efficiency are the key components in order to do so.
The manufacturer company of the PEM fuel cell, Plug Power, provides data of fuel consumption for specific power outputs; at 3kW the fuel consumption of the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system according the manufacturer consumes 70slm and about 40slm at full capacity of 5kW.

In this experiment the measured fuel consumption was at of 13slm at 1kW and of 1.8slm of hydrogen at 1.5kW and this is illustrated in the following figure 6.4.4.a.

![Fuel Consumption vs. Output Power](image)

**Figure 6.4.4.a Fuel Consumption**

The electrochemical reaction taking place on the fuel cells can be quantified by the fuel utilization efficiency taking into account that the majority of the fuel cells will contribute with the conversion of energy. This was taken under consideration and on figure 6.4.4.b reveals the fuel consumption and efficiency as a function of the fuel cell stack current.
Figure 6.4.4.b Hydrogen flow rate and fuel efficiency vs. stack current

On the above figure we can observe that the hydrogen consumption is directly related to the power which can be yielded from the stack, and that this relationship is linear. Also the measured fuel efficiency measured indicates that it is very high and on average achieves about 99%. In other words shows that the PEM uses the full energy potential of the fuel. The fuel provided to the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system is constant, where the flow rate is expressed in standard litres per minute (slm).

The amount of fuel used by the stack to generate electric current is calculated with the equation:

\[ V_{\text{fuel flow}} = \frac{I}{nF}N \]

Where:
- \( I \) the electric current
- \( n \) the number of moles of electrons transferred
- \( F \) Faraday’s constant \( 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1} \)
- \( N \) the number of cell’s

Here has to be mentioned that the unit of the above equation is mol/sec, hence in order to calculate the fuel utilization efficiency, the acquired data need to be converted from slm to
Thus the ideal gas law was adopted in order to transform approximately the results to common units:

\[
\frac{V}{n} = \frac{R_u T}{P} + \ldots
\]

Where:
- \( V \) volume
- \( n \) the number of moles
- \( R_u \) the ideal gas constant \( 8.314 \frac{J}{K\cdot mol} \)
- \( T \) the temperature
- \( P \) the pressure

\[ n_{\text{fuel}} = \frac{V_{\text{fuel flow}}}{V_{\text{fuel supplied}}} \]

The results of this transformation were almost identical with the values calculated using the fuel flow rate equation.

Thus the approximation of the fuel efficiency of the fuel cell stack was calculated as the ratio of the fuel used by the stack to generate electric current versus the total fuel provided to the fuel cell stack as follows:

\[ n_{\text{fuel}} = \frac{V_{\text{fuel flow}}}{V_{\text{fuel supplied}}} \]

### 6.4.5 Overall fuel cell stack efficiency

The overall fuel cell stack efficiency is defined as the ratio of the electrical energy produced by a stack, consuming a certain amount of hydrogen to the theoretical energy content of the same amount of hydrogen and it is expressed as follows:

\[
n_{\text{PEM}} = \frac{LV}{\Delta H_{HHV} Q_{\text{fuel}}} \times 100
\]

Where:
- \( V \) the stack voltage
- \( Q_{\text{fuel}} \) the fuel flow rate
- \( H \) the enthalpy for liquid water \( 285.83 \frac{kJ}{mol} \)

As it is observed on the figure 6.4.5, the stack efficiency decreases while the current increases. Also on the same figure we can see that for 1kW and 1.5kW of stack output power,
the efficiencies are about 45.7% and 43.8% in that order and that at low stack power, the stack efficiency exceed more than 50%.

![Stack Efficiency vs. Stack Current](image)

**Figure 6.4.5 Stack efficiency vs. stack current**

### 6.4.6 GenCore 5B48 overall electrical efficiency

The GenCore 5B48 overall electrical efficiency is defined as the ratio of the electrical power produced by the converter and the available energy for electrochemical conversion and it is expressed as follows:

\[
\eta_{GenCore.5B48} = \frac{P_{\text{converter}}}{E_{\text{energy avail for electrochemical conversion}}} \times 100
\]

At low power the overall system efficiency increases and after exceeding roughly 1kW it starts to decay as expected. The corresponding efficiency for each power output is demonstrated on the figure 6.4.6.a with more significant the overall efficiency of 27.1% for 0.25kW, of 35.8% for 1kW and for 1.5kW correspond efficiency of 34.9%.
On the figure 6.4.6.b it is shown that for small power output the system is inefficient and this is due to the rest of the equipment power losses.
6.4.7 Transition analysis

This test aims to evaluate the performance of the fuel cell stack and the whole GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system during operation mode, for predefined operational loads.

The loads were applied as step loads. This was accomplished by turning off the power to the DC Bus and at the same time applying the loads. The system at each step needed around ten seconds of operation on the batteries, then the fuel cell stack begin to operate and take over the load. The required time for the system to stabilise was near a minute. Within that required time for stabilization it was witnessed an increase in power demand which was due to the fact that other auxiliary components started their operation such as the cooling pump and the heater fun. The system was turned off manually after operating under load for approximately two minutes for each load.

There were applied four loads, of 200W, 250W, 1kW and 1.5kW to the fuel cell stack and the resulting diagram of these loads and the data obtain can be seen on figure 6.4.7.a.

![Figure 6.4.7.a Stack parameters over time during the test](image)

Also on figure 6.4.7.a it is witnessed a sudden increase on the stack current which is result of the beginning of operation of the fuel cell stack. Then it is almost immediately followed by sudden drop of current due to the introduction of each load and after a minute of operation; followed by an increase of power due to the initiation of operation of the auxiliary components which draws the same instant current from the stack. In addition on each step the power demand is covered for few seconds from the batteries and then the fuel cell stack is set
to take over and this also contributes in creating the peaks on the stack current while applying each load.

Figure 6.4.7.b Stack & converter power and system efficiency

The resultant power generated from the fuel cell stack and internal converter, are shown in Figure 6.4.7.b above. The variations of the power values are the outcome of the stack supplying power to the GenCore Control Card (GCC), through the auxiliary converter. Subsequently, the power values demonstrated in the graph are not representative of the applied resistive loads as supplementary energy is formed to power the system’s internal processes.

Also in the same figure are illustrated the measured system efficiencies by the GenCore monitoring software for each load; and they are higher than the calculated overall system efficiencies. As can be noticed on figure 6.4.7.b the averages of the measured efficiencies for each corresponding load (250W, 250W, 1.0kW & 1.5kW) are: 60.2%, 58.1%, 55.6% and 50.4%. While the overall system efficiencies were: 27.1% for 0.25kW, of 35.8% for 1kW and for 1.5kW correspond efficiency of 34.9%.
7 Conclusions

The most important features of the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system are illustrated in this thesis by analysing the obtained results from the experimentation, features such as electric characteristics, overall efficiency, fuel usage, and in general the operation performance of the fuel cell under load conditions.

Nevertheless, in turn to completely characterize the GenCore 5B48 system, more tests are required in order to establish the operational limits and investigate problems that occur during the operation, plus a reliability study of the system. Such research could include larger loads that the system can support, work under overpressure, cold start up with varying load demand, etc.

The results obtained from the experimentation on this fuel cell system in general can be used for characterization of PEM fuel cells, but only as roughly characteristics and not taken as axiom for all of these type fuel cells.

The testing results and their interpretation indicates firm response to different loads, good average efficiencies and all of that including the alluring rations of their efficiency with the volume/weight plus that the PEM technology improves continuously reducing their costs set them as the most attractive towards the other fuel cell types for merchandized applications.
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Appendixes

Appendix A  GenCore 5B48 System Specification
Rugged, reliable design.

Performance
- Rated Net Output: 0 to 5,000 W
- Adjustable Voltage: 40 to 56 Vdc (40) 1.25 to +136.2 Vdc (120)
- Operating Voltage Range: 42 to 60 Vdc 1.25 to +139.8 Vdc
- Operating Current Range (max): 0 to 120 Amps 0 to 35.9 Amps
- Fuel: GenCore Hydrogen 99.99% Dry 99.99% Dry
- Supply Pressure: 80 to 160 psig (5.5 to 11.1 bar) 80 to 160 psig (5.5 to 11.1 bar)
- Fuel Consumption: 40 standard liters per minute at 3,000W 40 standard liters per minute at 3,000W
- Weight: 55.0 lbs (24.9 kg) 55.0 lbs (24.9 kg)
- Operation Ambient Temperature: -40°C to 40°C -40°C to 40°C
- Relative Humidity: 0% to 95% Non-condensing 0% to 95% Non-condensing
- Altitude: -197 ft to 6,000 ft (-60 m to 1,829 m) -197 ft to 6,000 ft (-60 m to 1,829 m)
- Physical Dimensions: 64"H x 26" W x 24" D (162cm x 66cm x 61cm) 64"H x 26" W x 24" D (162cm x 66cm x 61cm)
- Safety Certification: FCC logo, CEC Class A, A
- Emissions: Maximum 1.75 Literes per hour Maximum 1.75 Literes per hour
- CO, CO2, NOx, SO2: <1 ppm <1 ppm
- Available Options: LVD Panel Included, Included
- Security: Gas Sensor Detection Included Included
- Control: Microcomputer Included Included
- Low Fault Alarm Included Included
- Communication: RS-232C RS-232C
- Digital Data Contacts Included, Included

Specifications subject to change without notice.
Appendix B  Discovery of the fuel Cell

William Robert Grove was one of the first to understand the mechanism of electrolysis and how it could be used in both ways, how to use this phenomenon to produce hydrogen and oxygen and vice versa to produce electricity with the products of the electrolysis. Thus in the year 1839 he manufactured the first Fuel Cell. The apparatus he assembled could electrolyze water without any electricity source.

Figure 2.2 first Fuel Cell build by William Robert Grove

His device (see Figure 2 above) worked in such a way that hydrogen (Hy) and oxygen (Ox) gases were in the test-tubes above the four lower beakers. These gases reacted in a sulphuric acid solution and formed H2O. During this electrochemical reaction the electrons were released and they electrolyzed water in the upper reservoir to O2 and H2 using a catalyst metal as the electrodes.
Appendix C  Fuel Cell Types & Features

There are several kinds of hydrogen fuel cell technology available or in development, and each operates slightly differently. In general terms, hydrogen atoms enter a fuel cell at the anode where a chemical reaction strips them of their electrons. The hydrogen atoms are ionised and therefore carry a positive electrical charge. The negatively charged electrons provide the current that pass through wires to do work. Hydrogen fuel cell types can be grouped as follow: a) Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM); b) Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC); c) Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cell (PAFC); d) Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell (MCFC); e) Alkaline Fuel Cell (AFC).

In some fuel cell types Oxygen (or air) enters the fuel cell at the cathode where it combines with electrons returning from the electrical circuit and hydrogen ions that have travelled through the electrolyte from the anode.

In other cell types the oxygen picks up electrons and then travels through the electrolyte to the anode, where it combines with hydrogen ions.

The electrolyte used in each fuel cell technology type performs a very important role as it must permit only the appropriate ions to pass between the anode and cathode. If free electrons or other substances could travel through the electrolyte, they would disrupt the chemical reaction, and in the worst case cause an explosion or fire.
Appendix D  Comparison of main fuel cell types & features

The main futures of each type of Fuel Cell as fuel type, efficiencies, operation, power range, temperatures, technology statues and the application suitable for are presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PEM</th>
<th>SOFC</th>
<th>PAFC</th>
<th>MCFC</th>
<th>AFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>Polymer Ion</td>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>Phosphoric Acid</td>
<td>Molten Carbonate Salt</td>
<td>Potassium Hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Ambient -80°C</td>
<td>600-1000</td>
<td>170-200</td>
<td>600-700</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuels</td>
<td>H₂ / Reformate</td>
<td>H₂/CO₂/CH₄ Reformate</td>
<td>H₂ / Reformate</td>
<td>H₂/CO₂/ Reformate</td>
<td>H₂ Reformate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidant</td>
<td>O₂/Air</td>
<td>O₂/Air</td>
<td>O₂/Air</td>
<td>CO₂/O₂/Air</td>
<td>O₂/Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductive Ion</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>O⁻</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>CO₃⁻</td>
<td>OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical efficiency (HHV)</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
<td>45-55%</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
<td>50-60%</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power range (kW)</td>
<td>1-250</td>
<td>1-900</td>
<td>50-200</td>
<td>1-2MW</td>
<td>0.6-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development stage</td>
<td>Commercialised (Production Prototypes)</td>
<td>Commercialised (Production Prototypes)</td>
<td>Commercialised (Mature Tech)</td>
<td>Commercialised (Production Prototypes)</td>
<td>Commercialised (Mature Tech)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Life (h)</td>
<td>1500-3000</td>
<td>3000-6000</td>
<td>65000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1500-3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Quick start up</td>
<td>High power density</td>
<td>Solid electrolyte High efficiency</td>
<td>High tolerance to impurities High efficiency</td>
<td>High efficiency Fuel flexibility High performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Expensive catalyst</td>
<td>Sensitivity to impurities</td>
<td>Breakdown of cells Slow start up Large size Heavy Expensive</td>
<td>Breakdown of cells Slow start up</td>
<td>Sensitive to CO₂ Corrosive material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suitable Applications</td>
<td>Small Stationary, Automotive, Portable</td>
<td>Stationary, Automotive</td>
<td>Large Stationary Large Stationary</td>
<td>Large Stationary</td>
<td>Space, Automotive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Features of main fuel types
Appendix E  General Issues regarding the GenCore 5B49 & the F.C. laboratory

Now regarding the specific PEM fuel cell system that was used for this investigation there are some problems that arise during operation that requires immediate concern and action to be taken.

One major issue that affect directly the proper operation of the GenCore 5B48 fuel system is the fact that the system is quite old thus needs appropriate maintenance by a specialized technician.

The necessity of maintenance was more than obvious when the PEM fuel cell was on operation mode. The batteries when running on load (i.e. with hydrogen) should get recharged by the same system and that was not taking place. Also problem occurred during operation without load (i.e. without use of hydrogen) with symptom the fast loss of voltage with result to get small time span in order to proceed with the experimentation. A solution but not permanent on this is to be applied a D.C. charge of 50 Volts for direct use on the GenCore 5B48 fuel cell system in order to operate without load and/or to charge the batteries at the end of every session. A permanent and strongly recommended solution is the batteries to be replaced by new ones.

Other issues that occurred during the test runs of the PEM fuel cell were the loss of communication between the software and the GenCore system which indicates that the RS485 connection between GCC and converter may be bad; the MAIN LED was green and the SYS LED was slowly blinking red which implies bad operation of the converter; and finally after a period of time the batteries could not support the stack. Some of the faults as they appeared during the tests are illustrated on the following figure 7.0 as it shows when the system was operating properly.

![Operation and fault indication LED panel](image-url)
According with the GenCore operation manual the PEM needs to be maintained by a specialized technician, preferably a company’s technician and also actions to replace some worn parts.

Regarding the fuel cell laboratory, the needs are demanding. As mentioned in previous chapters some equipment will be bought in the future like computerized load bank equipment for better control and/or load management, EIS equipment for enhanced monitoring of the PEM system and better understanding of the GenCore function and the internal operations that take place during its operation.

Finally the laboratory should get a better hydrogen supply system (distribution manifold has been suggested and can be seen a draft of it on the appendix 2), plus a secure storage system cause at the moment only one pressurized hydrogen cylinder can be connected and stored, but it is exposed on the weather elements.

Never the less this project besides mishaps and delays the research was completed on time and shows that this type of fuel cell is suitable for applications mobile and/or stationary with power demands varying from 0 to 5kW giving good overall system efficiencies, depending always the applied loads.
Appendix F   Hydrogen distribution manifold

Hydrogen: to install a 1x6 wall mounted manual manifold BOC part No 19309379, with cylinder connecting arms, single stage 0-10 bar adjustable pressure regulator conforming to BS EN 7291, with safety relief valve, Flash Back arrestor, purge and isolation valve, this would be connected to existing pipework system.
Appendix G  Tutorial of GenCore software