

Numerical method: demand side



Target domains

Systems





Figure 2.12: Examples of coupled domains.

Target domains



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho \phi \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\Gamma_{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} - \rho \mathbf{U}_i \phi \right) + \mathbf{S}_{\phi}$$

The rate of increase of φ within a fluid element = the rate of increase of φ due to diffusion - the net rate of flow of φ out of the element + the rate of increase of φ due to sources.



It is hubristic to suggest that the future can be predicted, only emulated to ensure resilience.

High resolution commercial building models





$$\frac{\partial^{2} \theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{2}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{k}} . \qquad \text{Fourier conduction eqn.}$$

$$\frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t}) - 2\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{\delta \mathbf{t}} - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{\mathbf{k}} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Explicit formulation control} \\ \text{central and first forward.} \\ \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t}) - 2\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{F} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t}) + \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})\delta \mathbf{t}}{\rho \mathbf{C}(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t}) + \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})\delta \mathbf{t}}{\rho \mathbf{C}} . \\ \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - 2\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{\delta \mathbf{t}} - \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{\rho \mathbf{C}(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t}) + \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})\delta \mathbf{t}}{\rho \mathbf{C}} . \\ \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - 2\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{\delta \mathbf{t}} - \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{\rho \mathbf{C}} . \\ \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - 2\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{\delta \mathbf{t}} - \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{\rho \mathbf{C}} . \\ \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - 2\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) - \theta(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t})}{\delta \mathbf{t}} - \frac{q(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})}{\rho \mathbf{C}(\delta \mathbf{x})^{2}} [\theta(\mathbf{l}+1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})] + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t})} + \theta(\mathbf{l}-1, \mathbf{t} + \delta \mathbf{t}) +$$

Application issues

- □ Complications to differencing by Taylor series expansion:
 - simultaneous presence of multiple heat transfer processes;
 - time and positional dependency of heat generation due to solar radiation, mechanical plant etc.;
 - discretisation leading to non-homogeneous, anisotropic finite volumes;
 - presence of multi-dimensional effects.
- Alternative approach: directly apply conservation principles to small control volumes.



Figure 2.15: Heat exchanges in a physical system.



Note:

• equation can be applied to building and plant components;

□ number of coefficients will vary;

□ analogous considerations for mass and momentum balance.

Formulating a numerical model

- □ Continuous system made discrete by the placement of nodes at points of interest:
 - nodes represent homogeneous or nonhomogeneous physical volumes (comprising fluids, opaque and transparent surfaces, constructional elements, plant component parts, room contents *etc*.).



- □ For each node, and in terms of all surrounding nodes representing regions deemed to be in thermodynamic contact, conservation equations are developed:
 - represents the nodal condition and the inter-nodal transfers of energy, mass and momentum.
- The entire equation-set is solved simultaneously for successive time steps:
 gives the future time-row nodal state variables as a function of present time-row states and prevailing boundary conditions at both time-rows.

Modelling issues

- Not possible to prescribe a spatial discretisation scheme in advance depending on the problem, model parts may require high resolution (many nodes) or low resolution (few nodes).
- Discretised conservation equations will have a variable number of coefficients depending on the node type. This will require a carefully designed matrix coefficient indexing scheme to facilitate efficient equation solution.
- Because different system parts will have different time constants and coupling strengths, equation processing must be structured to allow these effects to be reconciled whilst not enforcing a lowest common denominator processing frequency.
- Since different domain equations possess different characteristics (e.g. some are highly non-linear an approach that depends on several co-operating solvers will be more computationally efficient than an approach that attempts to coerce the disparate equation-sets into a single solver type.

Numerical errors

Two sources of error associated with finite differencing schemes:

- Rounding where computations include an insufficient number of significant figures. Can be minimised by careful design of the numerical scheme and by operating in double precision.
- Discretisation resulting from the replacement of derivatives by finite differences. Error minimised by reducing space and time increments.
 - not possible to prescribe space and time increments because they depend on modelling objectives;
 - implicit formulation attractive because it is unconditionally stable;
 - discretisation depends on factors such as surface insolation, local convection, corner effects/thermal bridges, and the shape of the capacity/insulation system.





System discretisation

By prescription: e.g. at least 3 nodes per homogeneous element with a time step less than 1 hour.

Using thermal criteria:

- Biot Number << 1 use lumped parameter;
- else, equal thermal capacity divisions using the dwell time.

□ Mixed node schemes often required.





Figure 3.3: Some mixed nodal schemes and their typical applications. (a) Corner effects—a combined one- and two-dimensional scheme. (b) Surface temperature gradient—a two-dimensional scheme.





□ lumped.

Surface energy conservation equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2W_{I}(t+\delta t) + \frac{\delta t \ k_{I-1,I}(t+\delta t)}{\delta x_{I-1,I} \delta_{I,I-1}} + \frac{\delta t \ h_{cI+1,I}(t+\delta t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} + \frac{\delta t \ \sum_{s=1}^{N} h_{ts,I}(t+\delta t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} \end{pmatrix} \theta(I, t) \\ - \frac{\delta t \ k_{I-1,I}(t+\delta t)}{\delta x_{I-1,I} \delta_{I,I-1}} \theta(I-1, t+\delta t) - \frac{\delta t \ h_{cI+1,I}(t+\delta t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} \theta(I+1, t+\delta t) \\ - \frac{\delta t \ \sum_{s=1}^{N} h_{ts,I}(t+\delta t) \theta(s, t+\delta t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} - \frac{\delta t \ h_{cI+1,I}(t)}{\delta_{I,I-1} \delta_{I-1,I+1} \delta_{K-1,K+1}} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 2W_{I}(t) - \frac{\delta t \ k_{I-1,I}'(t)}{\delta x_{I-1,I} \delta_{I,I-1}} - \frac{\delta t \ h_{cI+1,I}(t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} - \frac{\delta t \ \sum_{s=1}^{N} h_{ts,I}(t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} \end{pmatrix} \theta(I, t) \\ + \frac{\delta t \ k_{I-1,I}'(t)}{\delta x_{I-1,I} \delta_{I,I-1}} \theta(I-1, t) + \frac{\delta t \ h_{cI+1,I}(t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} \theta(I+1, t) + \frac{\delta t \ \sum_{s=1}^{N} h_{ts,I}(t) \theta(s, t)}{\delta_{I,I-1}} \\ + \frac{\delta t \ (q_{I}(t) + q_{SI}(t) + q_{RI}(t) + q_{SI}(t+\delta t) + q_{RI}(t+\delta t))}{\delta_{I,I-1} \delta_{I-1,I+1} \delta_{K-1,K+1}}} \\ C_{s}(t+\delta t) \theta(I, t+\delta t) - \sum_{s=1}^{N} C_{ci}(t+\delta t) \theta(i, t+\delta t) - \frac{\delta t \ q_{I}(t+\delta t)}{\delta V_{I}} \\ = C_{s}(t) \theta(I, t) + \sum_{s=1}^{N} C_{ci}(t) \theta(i, t) + \frac{\delta t \ q_{I}(t)}{\delta V_{I}} + \varepsilon \\ \\ Surface node types: \\ \Box noom surfaces; \\$$

ground.





Surface node types:
room air;
construction air gaps;
plant component fluids.





Equation structuring – building zone + contents + radiator

Equation structuring - passive/active solar system



Equation structuring - central heating system



Outputs support: energy and comfort; building/plant zoning strategies; control, system efficiency, distribution losses etc.

Calculating equation coefficients







Equation-set solution

□ Equations are linked => simultaneous solution required.

- Equation-set is sparse and populated by clusters of equations relating to components with different time constants => special solver required to minimise the computational effort.
- Partitioning techniques often used allowing different clusters to be processed at different frequencies depending on the related time constant.
- This allows control decisions to be made, and problem parameters recomputed, more frequently for an item of plant requiring a computational time-step of, say, 1 minute, than for a heavyweight construction requiring, say, 60 minutes.
- □ Two main equation solving approaches: iterative and direct.

Equation-set extension



Equation coefficients can be extended to improve the modelling resolution in relation to:

- short-wave and long-wave radiation;
- air movement;
- casual gains;
- surface convection;
- variation in fundamental parameters.

